UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/627,977	07/28/2003	Andrzej Wozniak	T2147-908626	4096
	7590 12/23/200 CKBRIDGE PC	8	EXAMINER	
1751 PINNACI	LE DRIVE	SILVER, DAVID		
SUITE 500 MCLEAN, VA	22102-3833		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2128	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/23/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ipdocketing@milesstockbridge.com sstiles@milesstockbridge.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/627,977	WOZNIAK, ANDRZEJ		
	i i		
Examiner	Art Unit		

	DAVID SILVER	2128	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>01 December 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appetor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit al (with appeal fee) in compliance	, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ar no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejectio	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origin	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complifiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a content of the con	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w); er form for appeal by materially rec	E below); lucing or simplifying th	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	cowable if submitted in a separate, t will not be entered, or b) ⊠ will	imely filed amendmer	it canceling the
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 105-118 and 130-143. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but	before or on the date of filing a No	otice of Appeal will <u>not</u>	be entered
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or	a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the	date of filing a brief, w	vill <u>not</u> be
showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	and was not earlier presented. Se n of the status of the claims after er	ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1) htry is below or attache). ed.
 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (13. Other: See Continuation Sheet. 		condition for allowand	ce because:
/Kamini S Shah/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2128			

Continuation of 13. Other: Applicants are thanked pointing to the specific sections of the Specification for support of the cited 35 U.S.C. § 112 deficiencies. Applicants' arguments have been fully considered with respect to the 35 U.S.C. § 112 and are persuasive. Accordingly, the rejections have been withdrawn..

Applicants' state that the reference cited does not teach:

- 1) creating a simulation wiring (para 316; 122 the "signals" correlate to the claimed wiring)
- 2) using the configuration definition file (para 153), a component and connection rule table (para 108 the rule check inherently performs a check based on a functionally equivalent set of rules for connections and components. The concept of DRC would have been well known to one of ordinary skill in the art. Para 131), and a connection coherency rule table for simulating at least one of the plurality of ICs (para 265 database comprising all the rules about mutual exclusivities between signal valuespara 153 simulator, simulating the HDL (configuration definition file))
- 3) automatically generating source code files comprising the simulation model (The HDL files correlate to the source code file).

Applicants further attempt to support their position by stating that Schubert only mentions "simulation model" in paragraph 0142. Such arguments are generally unpersuasive as a general review of the reference demonstrates that the concepts and implementations of simulation are thoroughly discussed and taught.

Applicants also stated that the reference does not discuss how a simulation model is generated or used, storing of simulation elements, creating simulation wirings, or generating source code files. As traversed above, the reference discusses and teaches signal wirings, as well as simulation, as claimed.

Accordingly, the rejections have been maintained.

The Application, as claimed, is not in condition for allowance.